BENEFITS OF BARIATRIC SURGERY AND PERIOPERATIVE SURGICAL SAFETY

Ji Chung Tham,1 *Carel W. le Roux2,3

1. General and Vascular Surgery Department, Musgrove Park Hospital, Taunton, UK
2. Diabetes Complications Research Centre, UCD Conway Institute, School of Medicine and Medical Science, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
3. Gastrointestinal Laboratory, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

*Correspondence to carel.leroux@ucd.ie

Disclosure: The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.
ORCHID number: 155215445
Received: 17.11.14 Accepted: 16.04.15
Citation: EMJ Diabet. 2015;3[1]:66-71.

ABSTRACT

Obesity is a worldwide problem with numerous associated health problems. The number of patients eligible for surgery outnumber surgical capacity and so patients need to be prioritised based on their obesity-related health burden and comorbidities. Weight loss as a result of bariatric surgery is significant and maintained in the long term. In addition to weight loss, patient health improves in terms of metabolic, macrovascular, and microvascular disease. As a result, quality of life is better, along with psychosocial wellbeing. Bariatric surgery is associated with a relatively low number of complications and appears to result in a reduction in mortality risk due to the resolution of comorbidities. Hence, surgery can now be routinely considered as an adjunct to medical therapy in the management of obesity.
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INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a pandemic1 with several treatment strategies ranging from education, health promotion, medical therapy, and surgery attempting to control the problem. The projected healthcare burden to many healthcare services may be unsustainable in terms of both cost and morbidity.2,3 Hence, strategies that provide more sustainable and reproducible results, such as intensive medical therapy and surgery, are becoming the interventions of choice. Bariatric surgery encompasses a group of surgical procedures, which include the adjustable gastric band (AGB), vertical sleeve gastrectomy (VSG), Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB), and biliopancreatic diversion (± duodenal switch) (BPD); all of which are aimed at improving patient health. AGB and RYGB were the most common bariatric operations conducted internationally, but the popularity of VSG has dramatically increased during the last 4 years. Currently, bariatric surgery is only offered to patients with a body mass index (BMI) >40 kg/m² or to those with a BMI >35 kg/m² with obesity-related comorbidities.4 BMI has its limitations and does not reflect the true composition of fat versus lean tissue. Anthropometric measurement using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry or magnetic resonance imaging is a better measure of body composition but the actual metabolic or health risk of obesity is not portrayed by its results. The Edmonton Obesity Staging System and the King’s Obesity Staging Score classify obesity based on comorbidities to predict risk of mortality independent of weight.5,6 These systems are ideal as they prioritise patients for treatment in terms of severity of health burden and may also identify individuals who will benefit more from interventions. It is essential to ensure that treatments offered to individuals produce not only the desired outcomes but are also safe in the long term. This review attempts to highlight the effectiveness, perioperative, and long-term safety of bariatric surgery based on current evidence.
Effectiveness of Bariatric Surgery – Weight Change

Initial studies raised issues of weight regain after surgery. These results fuelled the idea that surgery is a ‘temporary fix’ to the obesity problem. Surgery results in physiological changes to the body that lead to sustained weight loss, albeit with an initial small regain in weight. Data from the Swedish Obese Subjects (SOS) study, which includes >4,000 patients and 20 years of follow-up, show that weight loss is maintained in most patients after bariatric surgery, with greatest effect after RYGB compared with gastric banding and vertical banded gastroplasty. The effect of weight loss resulted in profound improvements in physiology, psychosocial function, and quality of life (QoL). When patients were divided using growth mixture models, distinct, differing patterns of weight loss could be detected; each showed different weight loss and weight regain trajectories, suggesting the presence of preoperative characteristics that can predict final outcome. These factors could possibly be reversible or treated, and hence should be identified prior to surgery.

Effectiveness of Bariatric Surgery – Metabolic

Immediately after VSG and RYGB, improvement in insulin resistance (IR) and an exaggerated post-prandial insulin response occur; outcomes that are not present immediately after AGB. Improvement in diabetes control is sustained for up to 3 years, as shown by randomised controlled trials, and appears to be superior to lifestyle and medical therapy, with 44% of patients achieving a glycated haemoglobin of <6.0% (42 mmol/mol) without the need for medication, which satisfies the definition of the American Diabetes Association for remission of Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D). Additionally, the SOS study showed that glycemic control in those with T2D pre-surgery remains adequate at 20 years postoperatively, with post-bariatric patients having lower baseline levels of insulin and/or lower blood glucose levels. Despite these improvements, many may relapse into mild or controlled T2D in the long term. The SOS trial also demonstrates improvements in glycemic control in AGB patients, although to a lesser extent. Very significant improvements in glucose homeostasis are seen after BPD in patients followed for 10 years. Significantly, in conjunction with medical therapy, bariatric surgery provides better glycemic control than medical therapy alone or than surgery alone. Therefore, for the long-term treatment of diabetes, bariatric surgery in combination with best medical therapy should be considered as a viable and probably superior option to either intervention on its own.

Effectiveness of Bariatric Surgery – End-Organ Macrovascular Damage

Diabetes, as part of the metabolic syndrome and obesity, results in end-organ damage such as atherosclerosis, myocardial infarction, and stroke. Hence, it is unsurprising that the risk of cardiovascular (CV) events decreases over time as metabolic control of diabetes improves. RYGB as an adjunct to intensive medical therapy results in improvements in glycemic control, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels, triglyceride levels, blood pressure, and requiring fewer medications to achieve optimal metabolic control. However, the underlying mechanism is unclear and it is debated whether results are solely due to weight loss.

Surprisingly, intensive lifestyle interventions have similar outcomes in terms of CV events compared with usual care in patients with a mean BMI of 36.0 kg/m² and T2D, despite weight loss of 6.0% in the intensive arm versus 3.5% in controls at 10 years. Therefore, modest weight loss through diet and exercise on its own does not contribute to significant CV benefit. Treatment of patients with high baseline insulin levels and not high BMI was significantly correlated to reduction in risk of CV events after bariatric surgery. Therefore, bariatric surgery can be offered to patients with significant IR or diabetes to reduce future morbidity or mortality, although heavier patients with no IR may not benefit as much.

Effectiveness of Bariatric Surgery – End-Organ Microvascular Damage

End-organ microvascular damage such as retinopathy, neuropathy, and nephropathy can occur with diabetes. Improved glycemic and metabolic control may halt progression. Various methods of assessing renal function include measuring creatinine to estimate glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), while assessment of renal damage relies on the degree of elevation of urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR). The use of eGFR as a measure of improvement in renal function is not ideal in bariatric surgery because of the loss in lean muscle mass and the subsequent reduction in creatinine.
laconelli et al.\textsuperscript{17} observed that 10 years after BPD, patients recovered from microalbuminuria and had preserved renal function compared with a control group treated with best medical care who had progressive kidney damage and deteriorating renal function. These results suggest that bariatric surgery may potentially reverse glomerular damage, and this can be seen after RYGB, with mean urinary ACR improving from 7.6 to 2.2 mg/mmol.\textsuperscript{24}

In a similar context, diabetic retinopathy results in ophthalmological microaneurysms, cotton-wool spots, flame haemorrhages, pathological angiogenesis, and blindness. Mean retinopathy scores may improve after bariatric surgery, although at a slower rate than urinary ACR, suggesting that longer follow-up is needed to see these changes.\textsuperscript{24-26} Using nerve conduction studies, the same group also showed that neither deterioration nor improvement can be detected at 1 year after RYGB.\textsuperscript{26}

**Effectiveness of Bariatric Surgery – Psychosocial and QoL**

Improvements in weight may lead to the assumption that physical activity will increase. One of the Longitudinal Assessment of Bariatric Surgery (LABS) reports noted that although physical activity of postoperative patients did increase on average, a significant number of patients (up to 29%) were less active when compared with their preoperative state.\textsuperscript{27} King et al.\textsuperscript{27} proposed that the likely explanation may be secondary to ongoing pain from osteoarthritis or that patients still have their physical activities limited by asthma, and these problems may not have been altered in their progressive nature. An alternative hypothesis is that with the weight loss after bariatric surgery the motivation for these patients to be physically active to control their weight has diminished.

One would also expect that the mental wellbeing of patients recovers as their health improves after bariatric surgery. Observations from the LABS study, using the Beck depression inventory, showed that the risk of a major adverse event (AE) such as clinical depression within 30 days of surgery was increased, but the overall number of patients with depression significantly improved at 1 year. However, after the peak improvement was reached at 1 year, a small but significant deterioration occurred from Year 1 to 3.\textsuperscript{28} Moreover, a large study of 19,577 patients with 7 years follow-up showed that although post-RYGB patients had an overall significant reduction in mortality, rate of death due to suicide was 1.58-times greater.\textsuperscript{29} Alcohol use disorder (AUD) also increased 2 years after RYGB but not AGB.\textsuperscript{30} The underlying reason is unclear but may lie in the changes in alcohol absorption and reward centres in the brain that occur in RYGB patients but not in AGB patients. King et al.\textsuperscript{30} also found that the risk of postoperative AUD was associated with male sex, younger age, regular substance abuse prior to surgery, and lower interpersonal support. Further studies are necessary to understand the underlying mechanism in order to treat the problem.

In terms of QoL, Schauer et al.\textsuperscript{31} found that RYGB and VSG patients had better physical function, higher energy levels, and perception of better general health at 3 years after surgery compared with patients on medical therapy. The assessment of QoL was based on the RAND 36-item health survey, which is a modification of the short form (SF)-36 survey. In intensive medical therapy patients, no significant improvements in QoL were found, while 5 of 8 mental and physical domains in RYGB patients and 2 of 8 domains in VSG patients showed significant improvements.\textsuperscript{18} It is likely that improvements in the CV function of the post-bariatric surgery patient explains better physical function and energy levels, as patients are able to perform more physical activities\textsuperscript{57} and feel less tired. Improvements in health from chronic diseases and the reduction in medication use may allow patients to no longer feel ‘tied down’ by their conditions and thus create the perception of better health.

**Effectiveness of Bariatric Surgery and its Failure**

In the event that the surgical procedure fails to produce its effect or produces unwanted effects, reversal or revision surgery can be performed. The rate of revision for AGB appears to evolve with the learning curve, with O’Brien et al.\textsuperscript{31} showing its rate dropping from 40% within 10 years to 6.4% thereafter. Usual causes for revision include complications such as erosion, proximal dilatation, and band problems.\textsuperscript{31} VSG cannot be reversed but may lie in the changes in alcohol absorption and reward centres in the brain that occur in RYGB patients but not in AGB.\textsuperscript{30} The reasons for revision include reflux, dysphagia, and/or poor weight outcome.\textsuperscript{32,33} For RYGB, revision surgery is complex and difficult with high complication rates,\textsuperscript{34} but the revision rates are low at 0–1.6%.\textsuperscript{32,35} The most common reason for revision is severe hypoglycaemia.\textsuperscript{35}
For BPD, reversal usually occurs after a trial of revision surgery and is between 2-7%.36

**PERIOPERATIVE AND LONG-TERM MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY OF BARIATRIC SURGERY**

**Perioperative and 30-Day Morbidity and Mortality**

In the perioperative setting, numerous studies have shown that bariatric surgery is associated with a relatively low risk of complications compared with other surgical interventions of similar complexity.18,37 According to Flum et al.,37 30-day mortality from AGB is close to 0% and mortality from RYGB close to 0.2%. The overall 30-day complication rate is 1% for AGB and 4.8% for RYGB, with a reoperation rate within 30 days for AGB of 0.8% and 3.2% for RYGB.37 In a broader aspect, the 30-day mortality rate of RYGB in two large European studies ranges from 0.04–0.1%,38,39 while large North American studies range from 0.14–0.2%.12,37,40,41 From these North American studies, 30-day mortality from AGB ranges from 0–0.01%, while that of VSG ranges from 0–0.05%.37,40,41 The SOS study had an overall 90-day mortality rate of 0.25%9 and from a recent meta-analysis the 30-day mortality was 0.08%.42

Thirty-day complications for RYGB range from 2.8–10.3%.37-41 An anastomotic leak is the most feared complication as it results in grave morbidity and requires reoperation. Anastomotic leak rate ranges from 0.19–0.78% with most requiring reoperation.38-41 See Table 1 for a list of complications of RYGB, VSG, and AGB. Serious complications are ones that usually require reoperation and seem to be highest with RYGB followed by VSG, then AGB. Even though it has been noted that outcomes and complications of VSG usually lie between RYGB and AGB, patients receiving VSG had the highest rate of deep vein thrombosis and/or pulmonary embolism. The underlying reason is yet to be elucidated.

At 1-year follow-up, the complication rate for RYGB is 30%, with 10% directly related to surgery (strictures, bleeding, and obstruction), but without significant differences compared with AEs in medical therapy patients.15 At 3-year follow-up, 0.1–0.9% of RYGB patients require subsequent bariatric surgery procedures for late complications compared with 13.8–21.9% in AGB patients in the LABS study.12 In a 5-year follow-up study, the late complication rate for RYGB was 16.1%.43 In long-term follow-up of up to 16 years, the risk of mortality is lower in the post-bariatric surgery patient compared with patients that did not have surgery, despite the risk of surgical complications,9 suggesting that, overall, bariatric surgery improves survival. In the SOS study, of which the follow-up data for each patient was for ≥10 years, 31% of AGB and 17% of RYGB patients required reoperations or conversions to a different bariatric procedure.44 Currently, there are no high-quality cohort follow-up data for reoperations or conversions for VSG.

Apart from BPD, none of the procedures discussed cause clinically significant macronutrient malabsorption, but in VSG and RYGB micronutrient deficiencies do occur as a result of altered anatomy and physiology. Common deficiencies that occur include iron and vitamins A, B, D, and E.45 At 1 year, VSG patients are more iron-deficient compared with RYGB patients (30% versus 20%, respectively). However, RYGB patients are more

---

**Table 1: Type of bariatric surgery and rates of associated common complications.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, %</th>
<th>Vertical sleeve gastrectomy, %</th>
<th>Adjustable gastric banding, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anastomotic leak</td>
<td>0.19–0.7838-41</td>
<td>0–0.7440,41</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal obstruction</td>
<td>0.35–0.9538-41</td>
<td>0–0.1240,41</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stricture/stenosis</td>
<td>0.15–1.4238,40</td>
<td>0.4240</td>
<td>0.1340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemorrhage</td>
<td>1.11–3.4238-41</td>
<td>0.59–0.6440,41</td>
<td>0.05–0.1340,41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep vein thrombosis and/or pulmonary embolism</td>
<td>0.05–0.9437-41</td>
<td>0.32–0.9440,41</td>
<td>0.07–0.3037,40,41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>0.13–0.2338, 4.0</td>
<td>0.1140</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoperations</td>
<td>1.30–5.0237-41</td>
<td>0.59–2.9704,41</td>
<td>0.63–0.9237,40,41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total complications</td>
<td>2.8–10.337-41</td>
<td>5.61–5.9040,41</td>
<td>1.00–2.3037,40,41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
deficient in vitamins A (23%), B12 (17%), and D (83%) compared with VSG patients (20%, 7%, and 70%, respectively).45 Interestingly, vitamin D deficiency is prevalent preoperatively; whilst RYGB results in no vitamin D improvement, VSG results in almost 50% fewer patients with deficiency at 1 year.46 In BPD, significant malabsorption does occur and requires revision and/or reversal in 3–18.5%.36 Common nutrients that are deficient include vitamin A, calcium, and iron.47 One needs to bear in mind that all BPD patients receive nutritional supplements and an altered diet postoperatively; therefore, exact quantification of nutrient deficiency is difficult. Hence, it is vital to closely monitor nutrient status in all post-bariatric surgery patients.

Postprandial hypoglycaemia may occur 90–120 mins postprandially after VSG and RYGB but should be distinguished from the dumping syndrome, which is a condition characterised by a constellation of symptoms due to autonomic hyperstimulation that usually occur within minutes of consuming high-glycaemic-index foods. The Bariatric Outcomes Longitudinal Database (BOLD) study48 showed that only 0.1% of patients have incidences of self-reported hypoglycaemia. However, not all patients with low serum glucose present with symptoms.49 Management of postprandial hypoglycaemia includes simple dietary adjustments: frequent but small and low-glycaemic-index carbohydrate meals, or pharmacological management with medication that reduces carbohydrate absorption, inhibits insulin release, or inhibits gastrointestinal hormones.50 Surgical management should be a last resort, as revision or reversal surgery carries a very high risk of complications.54 No high-level evidence has shown that symptomatic patients require revision surgery. The presentation of dumping syndrome is regularly seen in follow-up clinics but occurs fairly rarely (0.2%).51

**CONCLUSION**

Long-term data for bariatric surgery indicate that it is a useful adjunct to medical and lifestyle management of morbidly obese patients with complications due to obesity. Care must be taken to select appropriate candidates and then to support them in the long term. The effectiveness of bariatric surgery in the management of morbid obesity is further supported by good long-term safety profiles. Surgery should now be routinely considered in combination with medical therapy to help patients who suffer the consequences of obesity.
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