OBSTRUCTIVE sleep apnoea (OSA) is the most common sleep breathing disorder, affecting up to 100 million individuals around the globe. Patients with OSA have several episodes throughout the night where they struggle to breathe due to the collapse of their upper airways during sleep. Some of the most common symptoms of OSA include fatigue, daytime sleepiness, and disruptive snoring. OSA is a chronic disease with no cure and requires careful phenotyping and constant patient engagement with therapy.

Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy is often the first line of treatment for OSA, which involves a mask or nose piece that delivers positive air pressure to the airways, forcing the airways to stay open. Although this is an effective treatment, many patients have reported discomfort sleeping with a mask. This ERS guidelines session hosted a discussion of the recent updates to the guidelines on non-CPAP therapies in OSA, determined by a systemic review of the literature.

The first speaker, Sophia E Schiza, Head of the Sleep Department, University of Crete, Greece, highlighted the gaps in OSA treatment as well as previous and current clinical practice. She explained how the refinement of techniques has led to an update on the ERS guidelines on non-CPAP therapies in OSA. Until 2012, the Chicago criteria were used to calculate the apnoea–hypopnea index (AHI), which is the number of apnoeas or hypopneas recorded per hour of sleep in a study. Despite AHI being a helpful metric in defining the presence of OSA, the speaker acknowledged that AHI has its limitations.

Firstly, the calculation of AHI assumes apnoeas and hypopneas are equal in their biological effects. Secondly, AHI does not indicate the magnitude of oxygen desaturation. In addition, AHI does not account for gender or age-related changes. In other words, two patients with OSA with similar AHI scores could have significantly different severity of OSA, depending on other factors such as age, occupation, and associated conditions. The use of AHI as a continuous exposure variable is based on the assumption that...
it represents the disease state of OSA. However, this assumption has proven to be incorrect in the literature several times. Based on this conclusion, using AHI as the primary diagnostic tool of clinically relevant OSA should be reconsidered. Schiza suggested a multicomponent grading system to determine severity would be better to help decide the appropriate treatment plan.

Following the first speaker, Johan Verbraecken, University of Antwerp, Belgium, explained the recommendations on ERS guidelines on OSA in further detail as an update to the 2011 ERS guideline on non-CPAP therapies in OSA. The updated guidelines indicate that treatment recommendations must be based on different types of evidence, clinical experience, and other relevant factors. The speaker shared the various and novel interventions available for OSA, including gastric bypass surgery, custom-made duo-block mandibular advancement devices, hypoglossal nerve stimulation, myofunctional therapy, acetazolamide, and positional therapy. To end, Verbraecken presented a case study of a 57-year-old man who did not want CPAP. Using the latest guidelines, he concluded that myofunctional therapy would be the best solution in this individual scenario.

The final speaker, Joerg Steier, Guy’s and St Thomas’ Hospital, London, UK, discussed a few interesting cases that he had encountered in his sleep clinic. Steier acknowledged that CPAP is an effective treatment option for patients and addressed the critical question: what is the point of discussing non-CPAP therapies? As previously mentioned, some patients do not like wearing the mask and compliance is low. In fact, compliance can be as low as 50% in younger cohorts of patients and even less in females. Therefore, it is important to discuss non-CPAP therapies. The new guideline addresses different interventions such as gastric bypass surgery, carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, and positional therapy to help patients manage their OSA.

The first case Steier presented was a 54-year-old male who was referred to the sleep centre in 2007 due to excessive daytime sleepiness. The physicians ran some tests and measured the desaturation of oxygen during sleep. The patient was diagnosed with severe OSA and, consequently, they were referred to sleep apnoea therapy. At first, CPAP controlled the problem
and the heart rate of the patient returned to normal almost immediately. However, a year later, the patient returned and asked the physician what the long-term solution was. This thought-provoking yet simple question made the team realise that while this therapy helped manage OSA, it may not be sustainable as a permanent solution. Wearing a mask every night is not ideal for everyone and in this case, the patient did not want to carry on using CPAP. For this reason, the team suggested other solutions to the patient such as alternative oral devices. After trying several different devices, they finally managed to settle on a mandibular advancement device. Further to this, during the year, the patient had lost weight, which, surprisingly, also helped reduce their symptoms. This case is a perfect example of how a multidisciplinary approach was effective in treating the patient because the combination of CPAP, the mandibular advancement device, and weight loss all helped in managing OSA.

Another case discussed involved a 57-year-old man with a high BMI whose main issue was loud snoring with features of OSA. Interestingly, they did not have the sleepiness symptom but instead had difficulty falling asleep. After the team had conducted a sleep study analysing the deep sleep cycle, rapid eye movement cycle, and polysomnography, the patient was confirmed to have OSA. The patient was given CPAP therapy; however, they continuously asked for alternatives. After a bit of weight loss, the team conducted another sleep study and found there was a slight improvement in the results compared with the first sleep study that had been conducted a year before. Finally, the team at the clinic addressed the insomnia via cognitive behaviour therapy and found this made a significant difference. Similarly to the prior case, the best treatment plan for this patient was a combination of multiple therapies depending on the individual’s symptoms.

Lastly, Steier shared his final case of an older patient, a 74-year-old male, with several symptoms, ranging from night sweats to a 5-year history of snoring. In this case, the study showed that this patient had sleep apnoea through parts of the night but not during the whole night. In this instance, the patient had CPAP and cognitive behaviour therapy and their symptoms improved. Identifying the problem in all these cases was the first step because this allowed the team to find the correct and appropriate solutions.

Steier concluded that while CPAP is effective and remains the first-line treatment for many patients with OSA, it is important to consider other non-CPAP treatments and use a combined approach while having these discussions with the patient. This approach would favour a patient-centric delivery of healthcare. These three cases discussed demonstrate the array of issues patients with OSA can encounter.

In a closing statement, Anita Simonds, President of the ERS, reinforced the purpose of the ERS guidelines. She emphasised how this guidelines session could help healthcare professionals and researchers consider in more detail the alternative treatments for patients with OSA, leading to clinical improvement, reduced healthcare costs, and potentially decreased cardiovascular morbidity.