

Gene Therapy in Haemophilia: Updates from Clinical Trials and Insights to Future Technologies

These presentations took place from 9th–13th July 2022 as part of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) Congress held in London, UK



Speakers:

Michael Laffan,¹ Johnny Mahlangu,^{2,3} Anthony Hatswell,⁴ Suresh Agarwal,⁵ John Chapin,⁶ Gil Gonen-Yaacovi,⁷ Steven Pipe,⁸ Guy Young,^{9,10} Jerry Teitel,^{11,12} Priyanka Raheja,¹³ Paul Batty,^{14,15} Margareth Ozelo,¹⁶ Donna Coffin,¹⁷ Barbara Konkle,¹⁸ Jonathon Lindgren,¹⁹ Anna Sternberg,¹⁹ Debra Pittman,²⁰ Savannah Lawton,²¹ Cameron Rementer,²¹ David Wilcox,²² Elana Tonetto,²³ Chun-Yu Chen,²¹ Pratiksha Sarangi,²⁴ Laura Peretto,²³ Elena Barbon,²⁵ Peter Lenting²⁶

1. Imperial College London, UK
2. University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
3. National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa
4. Delta Hat Limited, Nottingham, UK
5. Translational Sciences, BioMarin Pharmaceutical Inc., San Rafael, California, USA
6. Takeda Development Center Americas Inc., Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA
7. ASC Therapeutics, Inc., Milpitas, California, USA
8. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
9. Children's Hospital Los Angeles, USA
10. Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA
11. St Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
12. University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada
13. The Royal London Hospital, UK
14. University College London, UK
15. Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada
16. University of Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil
17. World Federation of Hemophilia, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
18. University of Washington, Seattle, USA
19. Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA
20. Pfizer Inc., Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA
21. Seattle Children's Research Institute, Washington, USA
22. Children's Research Institute, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, USA
23. University of Ferrara, Emilia-Romagna, Italy
24. Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India
25. San Raffaele Telethon Institute for Gene Therapy, Milan, Lombardy, Italy
26. INSERM U1176, Université Paris-Saclay, Le Kremlin-Bicetre, France

Disclosure: Agarwal is an employee of BioMarin. Chapin is an employee and stockholder in Takeda. Gonen-Yaacovi is an employee of ASC Therapeutics. Batty has received research support from BioMarin, Grifols, and Octapharma; honoraria from Octapharma, BioMarin, Pfizer, Novo Nordisk Inc., and the Institute for Medical and Nursing Education (IMNE); and advisory board fees from BioMarin. Barbon is a researcher at I.R.C.C.S. Ospedale San Raffaele; and was previously employed by Généthon, and the University of Ferrara. Hatswell is an employee of Delta Hat Limited; and has received consultancy fees from BioMarin. Konkle has received research funding from Pfizer, Sanofi, Spark/Roche, Takeda and uniQure; and consultancy fees from BioMarin, CSL Behring, Pfizer, Regeneron, Sanofi, Takeda, and Tremeau. Laffan has received research support from BioMarin; consultancy/advisory fees from Bayer, LEO Pharma, LFB Pharmaceuticals, Pfizer, Roche, Shire, AstraZeneca, and Sobi; and speaker fees from CSL, Takeda, Sobi, LEO Pharma, Pfizer, and Bayer. Lenting has received research funding from the Agence National de la Recherche, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi, and Swedish Orphan Biovitrum Ltd. (Sobi); and speaker fees from CSL Behring, LFB Biomédicaments, Sanofi, and Sobi. Mahlangu has received research support from BioMarin, Catalyst Biosciences, CSL, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi, Spark, and uniQure; consultancy/scientific board fees from BioMarin, CSL Behring, Catalyst Biosciences, Novo Nordisk, Roche, Takeda, Sanofi, and Spark; and speaker fees from the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi, Takeda, and World Federation of Hemophilia (WFH). Ozelo has received research support from BioMarin, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Sanofi, Roche, and Takeda; consultancy fees from Pfizer and Freeline Therapeutics Ltd; speaker fees from BioMarin, Novo Nordisk, and Takeda; and has attended Advisory Boards for BioMarin, Bayer, Novo Nordisk, Sanofi, and Takeda. Pipe has received research support from Siemens, YewSavin, uniQure/CSL Behring; consultancy fees from Apcintex, ASC Therapeutics, Bayer, BioMarin, Catalyst Biosciences, CSL Behring, Freeline, GeneVentiv, HEMA Biologics, LFP, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Regneron/Intellia, Roche/Genentech, Sangamo Therapeutics, Sanofi, Spark Therapeutics, Takeda, and uniQure; honoraria from GeneVentiv and Sangamo Therapeutics; and advisory board fees from GeneVentiv. Pittman is an employee of Pfizer. Raheja has received grant support from Takeda and CSL Behring; speaker fees from Pfizer; and consultancy fees for Sobi. Sarangi has received MHRD grant funding from IIT-Kanpur. Teitel has received research support from Pfizer, Spark, Bayer, and Takeda; has been a Steering Committee member for Pfizer; has been a consultant/advisory board member for Pfizer, Novo Nordisk, Bayer, Sanofi, Regeneron, and Takeda; and is a DMC member for BioMarin. Wilcox has an equity interest and intellectual property rights in Platelet Targeted Therapeutics, LLC. Young has received research support from Genentech/Roche, Grifols and Takeda; and consultancy fees from ApcinteX, BioMarin, Genentech/Roche, Grifols, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Rani, Sanofi Genzyme, Spark, Takeda, and uniQure. Sternberg, Chen, Rementer, Coffin, Tonetto, Lindgren, Peretto, and Lawton have disclosed no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements: Medical writing assistance was provided by Steph Carter, Lyrical Medical Writing Services, Manchester, UK. Thanks are given to the presenters of the sessions summarised in this article.

Support: This review was funded by a medical grant from Pfizer, which had no input into its content. The opinions expressed in this article belong solely to the named speakers.

Citation: EMJ Hematol. 2022;10[Suppl 5]:2-12. DOI/10.33590/emjcardiol/10136425. <https://doi.org/10.33590/emjcardiol/10136425>.



Meeting Summary

At the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) 2022 Congress, held 9th–13th July, multiple oral and poster presentations were dedicated to gene therapy as a treatment for haemophilia A or B. These included updates from clinical trials of adeno-associated virus (AAV)-based gene therapy products and guidance on the real-world monitoring of patients with haemophilia who have received gene therapy, both in the short- and long-term. The unmet needs and challenges associated with gene therapy were also discussed, and several preclinical studies that aimed to refine AAV-based strategies were presented. Finally, there were a number of presentations providing an insight into the ongoing research into alternative gene therapy strategies, including the use of non-viral gene transfer, gene editing strategies, and nanobodies.

Introduction

Gene therapy is an attractive treatment option for patients with haemophilia A or B as it offers the potential to restore normal haemostatic function whilst relieving the burden of regular infusions with factor VIII (FVIII) or IX (FIX) concentrates.¹ Gene replacement strategies that use AAVs to deliver a FVIII or FIX transgene are at the forefront of gene therapy for haemophilia, and promising findings have been reported from multiple clinical trials.^{2–7} This has culminated in the approval of the first gene therapy for haemophilia A (valoctocogene roxaparvovec) by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) in June 2022.⁸ Although gene replacement therapy has improved outcomes for patients with haemophilia, there remain challenges associated with this approach, including the presence of pre-existing neutralising antibodies (NAb) to the AAV capsids (protein shell), and the variability and unpredictability of transgene expression.¹ Research is currently ongoing to refine the process of AAV-mediated gene transfer and also to develop alternative gene therapy strategies, such as the use of non-viral gene transfer methods, or exploitation of the CRISPR/Cas9 system to directly edit the mutated FVIII or FIX genes.⁹

several of these were provided at ISTH in 2022, and are summarised below.

Haemophilia A

Valoctocogene roxaparvovec is an AAV serotype 5 (AAV5)-based gene therapy that has been studied in patients with severe haemophilia A in a Phase I/II study,⁵ and an open-label, multicentre, single-arm, Phase III trial (GENEr8-1).⁷ Michael Laffan, Professor at the Centre for Haematology, Imperial College London, UK, presented 6-year follow-up data for 13 patients treated in the Phase I/II study, and reported that there were no new treatment-related safety signals in Years 5 or 6.¹⁰ One serious adverse event (AE) of acinar cell carcinoma occurred, but was not associated with the treatment. Over the 6-year follow-up, a sustained reduction in the annualised bleeding rate (ABR) and an increase in the number of patients who were bleed-free compared with baseline was observed. Improvements in ABR and use of exogenous FVIII were noted even in patients with low FVIII transgene expression. Twelve out of 13 participants did not require FVIII prophylaxis at Year 6, and patients either improved or maintained their quality of life for up to 6 years following treatment.

Adeno-associated Virus Vector-Mediated Replacement Gene Therapy: Updates from Clinical Trials

Multiple gene therapies are currently in clinical development for haemophilia A and B, with many clinical trials ongoing or completed. Updates on

The majority of patients enrolled in the GENEr8-1 study (112 out of 134) entered the trial from a previous non-interventional study of patients receiving FVIII prophylaxis. Johnny Mahlangu, Professor at the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, and National Health Laboratory Service (NHLS), Johannesburg, South Africa, reported an

85% reduction in the treated ABR and a 98% reduction in annualised FVIII utilisation after treatment compared with baseline in this rollover population.¹¹ Furthermore, 30% of patients had zero bleeds at baseline, increasing to 58% and 67% in the first and second years after treatment. Of note, more than 80% of rollover patients had no treated bleeds each year, despite receiving no routine prophylaxis. The number of joint bleeds and matched median FVIII activity in GENEr8-1 aligned with estimates from an epidemiological study,¹² suggesting that transgene-derived FVIII provides similar protection as to native or exogenous FVIII. The safety profile of valoctocogene roxaparvovec in Year 2 was consistent with Year 1.¹¹

In a post hoc analysis reported by Anthony Hatswell, Director at Delta Hat Limited, Nottingham, UK, propensity scoring was used to compare outcomes for patients enrolled in the GENEr8-1 rollover population (intervention cohort) with patients who were enrolled in the earlier non-interventional study but did not transfer into GENEr8-1 (control cohort).¹³ Mean ABRs were significantly lower in the intervention versus control cohorts for both 'all bleeds' and 'treated bleeds'. In addition, the proportion of patients with zero bleeds (52.7% versus 28.5%; $p < 0.05$) or zero treated bleeds (79.5% versus 32.9%; $p < 0.001$) were significantly higher in the intervention versus control cohorts.

Suresh Agarwal, Director of Clinical Pharmacology at BioMarin Pharmaceutical Inc, San Rafael, California, USA, reported that in GENEr8-1, median peak vector DNA levels were observed 1–8 days after administration and were highest in blood, followed by saliva, semen, stool, and urine.¹⁴ Encapsidated vector DNA levels then declined steadily with a maximum time to clearance of ≤ 12 weeks in both plasma and semen. The maximum time-to-clearance of all other residual vector DNA in semen was 36 weeks. Therefore, the risk of transmission to untreated individuals is considered to be very low; however, contraception is recommended for men for 6 months following treatment due to the time-to-clearance of residual vector DNA.

TAK754, an AAV serotype-8 (AAV8)-based gene therapy containing an FVIII transgene, is currently being investigated in a Phase I/II study in patients with severe

haemophilia A.¹⁵ John Chapin, Director at Takeda, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA, reported that in the first three patients, no changes in serum cytokines were observed within 24 hours of infusion; however, an elevation in transaminases and a concomitant decline in FVIII expression occurred 4–9 weeks post-infusion despite glucocorticoid use. Using bulk mRNA transcriptomic analysis, no significant changes in natural killer cell, dendritic cell, NF- κ B, IL-6, Toll-like receptors 1-8, or T cell pathway signals were observed, although transient increases in Toll-like receptor 9, TNF α , chemokine ligand 5, and interferon regulatory factor 7 occurred 8 hours after infusion.

Lastly, Gil Gonen-Yaacovi, Associate Director, Clinical and Regulatory Affairs at ASC Therapeutics, Milpitas, California, USA, described ASC618, a novel gene therapy treatment for haemophilia A composed of a bioengineered human FVIII transgene with liver-specific codon optimisation under the control of a hepatic combinatorial bundle promoter.¹⁶ In preclinical studies, ASC618 was well tolerated at all doses evaluated. The safety, tolerability, and preliminary efficacy are currently being studied in a Phase I/II clinical trial. It is hypothesised that the human-porcine chimeric transgene will allow for lower AAV doses while still generating sufficient serum levels of FVIII and a more durable treatment effect.

Haemophilia B

Etranacogene dezaparvovec is a gene therapy product composed of an AAV5 vector and the highly active FIX Padua variant transgene that is currently being studied in the Phase III clinical trial, HOPE-B, in adults with severe or moderately-severe haemophilia. Steven Pipe, Professor at the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA, reported statistically significant improvements in the EuroQoL 5 Dimension 5 Level (EQ-5D-5L) visual analogue scale (nominal p value: 0.0244) and index scores (nominal p value: 0.0132) after 2 years compared to the 6 months prior to baseline.¹⁷ Statistically significant improvements were also observed in the haemophilia-specific quality of life (Haem-A-QoL) questionnaire (nominal p value: < 0.0001), with the largest improvement observed for the reduction in treatment burden.

Verbrinacogene setparvovec (formerly FLT180a), which uses an AAVS3 synthetic capsid and the Padua FIX transgene, is also in clinical development for haemophilia B, with a commercial formulation currently being studied in the Phase I/II study B-LIEVE. Guy Young, Professor at the Cancer and Blood Diseases Institute, Children's Hospital Los Angeles, California, USA, and Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA, reported that the first three patients treated in B-LIEVE achieved FIX levels in the normal range and had discontinued prophylaxis by follow-up at 33, 56, and 77 days, respectively.¹⁸ Treatment was well tolerated, and all AEs were consistent with the known profile of immune management.

The Importance of Patient Selection and Ongoing Monitoring

In an introduction to a session on the importance of patient selection and monitoring, Mahlangu explained that although clinical experience with gene therapy is growing, there are multiple factors that still require standardisation across therapies and trials, including production of the vector, individualised vector selection, dosing, immunosuppression strategies, integration monitoring, choice of efficacy endpoints, and patient selection.¹⁹

Jerome Teitel, Professor of Medicine at the University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada, explained that the biggest barrier to eligibility for gene therapy remains the presence of pre-existing NAbs.²⁰ Other considerations for patient selection include psychological issues, patient lifestyle, liver health, thrombotic risk, other health problems, and history of treatment adherence. Patients themselves need to consider several factors when making treatment decisions. For instance, the advantage of gene therapy is that it is currently the only option for long-term control without the need for continuous therapy. Also, some patients are motivated by participating in clinical trials. Conversely, patients need to be aware that the degree of response is unpredictable, immunosuppressive therapies may need to be taken, they may need to limit alcohol intake and defer family planning, and they will require regular blood tests, and potentially liver

biopsies. In addition, there is a low risk of vector integration, and treatment may prevent future eligibility for AAV-based gene therapy due to the development of NABs.

Priyanka Raheja, Consultant Haematologist at Royal London Hospital, UK, described how the innate and adaptive immune response can drive inter-patient variability in responses to gene therapy.²¹ This can be due to patient-dependent factors, such as pre-existing immunity, inflammation, age, human leukocyte antigen-type, and genetic background, as well as vector contaminants. To manage the immune response, rapid introduction of immunosuppressive therapy is imperative, with some trials using prophylactic corticosteroids. Alternatively, if mild inflammation occurs, it can be treated with a short course of steroids. Other proposed strategies to minimise the immune response include capsid engineering, reducing the CpG content, and codon optimisation.

Paul Batty, Associate Professor, University College London, UK, and Margareth Ozelo, Head of Hematology Division, University of Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil, both gave updates on current knowledge regarding the integration of AAV vectors into the host genome, and the potential risk of cancer.^{22,23} Following AAV-mediated gene therapy, the majority of the transgene exist as episomes within the nuclei of hepatocytes; however, 0.1–1.0% will integrate within the genomic DNA.²⁴ In mice, recurrent integration events have been observed in the *Rian* locus, and this has been associated with the development of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).^{25,26} However, this region is very specific to the mouse genome, and is not found in humans or other large animals. In canine studies of gene therapy for haemophilia, low-frequency integration events have been reported, although these occurred predominantly in non-coding regions of the genome.^{26–30} Reassuringly, none of the dogs treated in these studies developed tumours or displayed evidence of altered liver function for up to 12 years of follow-up.^{27,28}

In a human study, wild-type AAV2 genomes were found to be integrated in 5.7% of biopsies from patients with HCC, although the majority of these patients had established risk factors for HCC, or mutations associated with liver cancer.³¹ In humans treated with rAAV2-hFIX, no

evidence of long-term liver toxicity or tumourigenesis was observed for up to 15 years post-hepatic artery infusion.³² Finally, liver biopsies performed up to 4 years after treatment in five patients in the Phase I/II trial of valoctocogene roxaparvovec revealed no evidence of liver damage.³³ In these patients, one to six integration events per 1,000 cells were observed, but there was no enrichment in integration sites near protooncogenes, and no evidence of clonal expansion (data on file). To date, one case each of tonsillar carcinoma,³⁴ acinic cell carcinoma (data on file), and HCC³⁵ have been reported in patients with haemophilia post-gene therapy. In all cases, there was little to no evidence of AAV vector genome integration.

Donna Coffin, Director of Research and Public Policy at the World Federation of Hemophilia (WFH), Montreal, Quebec, Canada, described how gathering details of rare AEs in a small patient population over a large geographical area can be challenging. To address this, the WFH is currently collaborating with national registries to promote data integration into the international Gene Therapy Registry (GTR).³⁶ To date, a database partner has been selected, and collaborations with the American Thrombosis and Hemostasis Network (ATHN), Netherlands HemoNED, and the Canadian Bleeding Disorders Registry (CBDR) have been initiated. It is anticipated that the GTR will be available for data entry and linkage by mid-2022.

Barbara Konkle, Professor of Medicine in Hematology at the University of Washington, Seattle, USA, explained that as haemophilia is a rare disease, all gene therapy-treated patients need to be carefully monitored.³⁷ For safety assessments, the WFH-GTR³⁸ has defined multiple AEs of special interest, including FVIII/FIX inhibitors, liver disease, malignancy, thromboembolic events, hypersensitivity reactions, hepatitis B or C, and sensory paraesthesia. To determine efficacy and durability, FVIII/FIX activity along with the clinical bleeding phenotype should be assessed. Successful long-term monitoring of post-gene therapy will require clinical trial follow-up in accordance with regulatory requirements along with enrolment in the WFH-GTR. The primary objective of the GTR is to determine the long-term safety of

FVIII and FIX gene therapy. Secondary objectives are to determine the long-term efficacy and durability of these therapies, and to assess long-term quality of life and burden of disease.

Adeno-associated Virus Vector-Mediated Gene Replacement Therapy: Preclinical Studies

In a canine model, Batty reported dose-dependent therapeutic expression of canine FVIII (cFVIII) following infusion of a codon-optimised AAV5-cFVIII product.³⁹ AAV5-cFVIII was detected in the liver of all animals at 3 months and a significant correlation was observed between liver vector genomes and FVIII mRNA copies ($r=0.88$; $p=0.002$). Capsid antibodies were detected within 7 days of infusion but no new FVIII inhibitors were detected. No blood biomarkers of innate immune activation were also observed.

Two liver-specific promoter elements have been used in haemophilia A clinical studies to date: human α -one antitrypsin/apolipoprotein E promoter/enhancer⁴ and transthyretin.³ A study in haemophilia A mice reported by Jonathan Lindgren, Research Tech II at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA, demonstrated that both of these promoter elements may drive transgene expression in both hepatocytes and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells.⁴⁰

Anna Sternberg, Postdoctoral Fellow at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA, described how sustained AAV-mediated FVIII expression at levels necessary to eliminate bleeding has not yet been achieved in patients with haemophilia A.⁴¹ However, a FVIII variant transgene with enhanced function could potentially achieve haemostatic efficacy at lower AAV vector doses. The FVIII-R336Q/R562Q (QQ) variant is resistant to activated protein C-mediated inactivation, and has demonstrated an approximate five-fold enhanced haemostatic function *in vivo*.⁴² In this study, blood loss post-tail-clip was reduced by five- to 10-fold in mice treated with the QQ versus wild-type FVIII transgene. No significant survival

differences were observed between treatment groups at 3 months post-infusion, and preliminary safety data indicated that there was no enhanced prothrombotic or immunological risk with QQ versus wild-type FVIII.

In paediatric recipients of gene therapy for haemophilia B, growth of the liver and expanding blood volume in childhood may lead to a reduction in FIX levels. In a study presented by Debra Pittman, Senior Research Director of Rare Disease Research, Pfizer Inc., Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA, an AAV-FIX-R388L variant was infused into 12 juvenile dogs with haemophilia B: six 3-month-old dogs (to model 2–6-year-old children) and six 6-month-old dogs (to model 6–12-year-old children).⁴³ The treatment was well tolerated and no spontaneous bleeds occurred during the follow-up period of at least 12 months, despite liver growth and blood volume expansion. In all dogs, stable and durable reductions in haemostatic assay results were observed, indicating persistent FIX activity in these animals.

Across multiple clinical trials using AAV vectors, FVIII activity, as measured by one-stage clotting assays, was approximately 1.6-fold higher than that measured by chromogenic substrate assay,^{3,5,44} whereas the opposite relationship has been observed for recombinant FVIII products.⁴⁵ In a study presented by Sternberg,⁴⁶ these observations were recapitulated in mice, and AAV-derived FVIII was shown to have enhanced FVIIIa function compared to the recombinant protein. Enhanced FVIIIa function did not appear to be due to improved stability of the FVIII A2 subunit, and assay discrepancies occurred independently of von Willebrand factor.

Gene Therapy for Haemophilia: A Look at Future Technologies

Ultrasound-mediated gene delivery with microbubbles is a potentially effective method of non-viral gene delivery. In a study described by Savannah Lawton, Research Scientist at Seattle Children's Research Institute, Washington, USA, mice were injected into the portal vein with plasmid DNA and microbubbles before a pulsed

therapeutic ultrasound transducer was applied to the liver for 1 minute, either at low energy (LE) or high energy (HE), targeting predominantly endothelial cells and hepatocytes, respectively.⁴⁷ In both groups, FVIII activity levels stabilised at approximately 10% at 84 days post-treatment, and fluorescent microscopy revealed expression of human FVIII in liver sinusoidal endothelial cells. Transaminase levels indicated lower transient liver damage in the LE compared with the HE groups in the first week, with both groups returning to baseline levels by Week 2. FVIII inhibitors were detected in five out of 10 mice in the HE group, but none in the LE group.

Intraosseous gene therapy via delivery of lentiviral vectors into bone marrow to drive FVIII expression in platelets has been used to successfully treat mice with haemophilia A.⁴⁸ In a study presented by Cameron Rementer, Postdoctoral Researcher at Seattle Children's Research Institute, Washington, USA, this approach was extended to four dogs with haemophilia A.⁴⁹ After injection, cFVIII was detected in platelets and persisted for the duration of the study in all dogs. The whole blood clotting time was shortened at multiple time points after treatment, and the dogs experienced fewer bleeds compared with the period before treatment. The intraosseous gene therapy delivery was well tolerated, and no toxicity was observed.

Transduction of haematopoietic stem cells *ex vivo* with lentiviruses to generate genetically-modified platelets has the potential to control bleeding in patients with severe haemophilia A with inhibitors, and is supported by multiple pre-clinical studies.^{50–54} David Wilcox, Associate Professor at the Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, USA, described the advantages of this approach,⁵⁵ including that it can be used in patients with anti-AAV antibodies or FVIII inhibitors and patients with liver damage. This approach also results in a more stable expression of the transgene compared with an AAV approach, and it can also be used in a diverse population, including paediatric patients. In addition, *ex vivo* haematopoietic stem cell manipulation should not transduce germ cells, and there is a low potential for insertional mutagenesis, clonal expansion, and cancer. This approach is currently being evaluated in a Phase I study in adults with severe haemophilia A and

a history of inhibitors to FVIII.⁵⁵ Results for the first treated patient have indicated excellent tolerance to the treatment, and sustained complete haematopoietic recovery. FVIII activity was detected in platelet lysates and no bleeding episodes were reported in the first month after treatment.⁵⁵

Gene editing of DNA can potentially be used to correct missense and nonsense mutations in FVIII that cause haemophilia A. Elena Tonetto, PhD Researcher at the Department of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, University of Ferrara, Emilia-Romagna, Italy, described proof-of-principle efficacy for base editing in cellular models, with reversal of nonsense (p.R2166*) and missense (p.R2228Q) mutations detected at DNA level leading to rescue of secreted FVIII protein and activity levels up to 20% of wild-type FVIII.⁵⁶ Subsequently, Chun-Yu Chen, Research Scientist at Seattle Children's Research Institute, Washington, USA, described the use of lipid nanoparticle (LNP) technology to deliver a FVIII exon 1-targeting single guide RNA (sgRNA) with CRISPR/Cas9 expressing plasmid into immunodeficient mice with a frameshift deletion mutation in exon 1 of the *FVIII* gene.⁵⁷ The data suggested that LNPs can efficiently transfect both HepG2 and HUVEC cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Furthermore, LNPs carrying CRISPR/Cas9 mRNA and an mF8sgRNA were able to induce insertion-deletion mutations in the target site, leading to therapeutic levels of FVIII expression.

To overcome reductions in FVIII or FIX transgene expression over time, overexpression of FVIIa could bypass the need for FVIII or FIX and generate sufficient thrombin for clotting.⁵⁸ In this study presented by Pratiksha Sarangi, PhD researcher at the Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India, CRISPR/Cas9-based gene editing was used to insert a transgene-encoding murine FVIIa into the host genome of mice with haemophilia B.⁵⁹ Treated animals had an approximately four-fold higher expression of murine FVIIa and an up to 36% decline in prothrombin time for up to 15 weeks after vector administration compared with controls. Reduced blood loss in a tail-clip assay was also observed for the gene therapy group compared with controls.

Splice variant mutations often lead to exon skipping and can potentially be rescued by

RNA therapeutics based on the small nuclear RNA component U1 spliceosomal RNA (U1snRNA). Laura Peretto, PhD Researcher at the Department of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, University of Ferrara, Emilia-Romagna, Italy, evaluated a FVIII c.1752+5g>c splice variant that resulted in skipping of exon 11 and the generation of low levels of spliced transcripts consistent with moderate haemophilia A.⁶⁰ Co-transfection of human hepatoma cells with this splice variant and an appropriately designed U1snRNA variant restored exon 11 inclusion in up to 92% of transcripts.

Elena Barbon, Postdoctoral Fellow at San Raffaele Telethon Institute for Gene Therapy, Milan, Lombardy, Italy, described how capsid engineering can be used to improve transduction efficiency, reduce immunogenicity, and increase production efficiency for AAV vectors.⁶¹ Firstly, site-directed mutagenesis can be used to modify surface-exposed tyrosine residues and increase AAV2 transduction both *in vivo*⁶² and in mice with haemophilia B.⁶³ Secondly, capsid shuffling has been used to generate an AAV chimera composed of five parental AAV capsids that could efficiently transduce human primary hepatocytes in culture, and had higher resistance to neutralisation with pooled human IgG compared with AAV2.⁶⁴ In a human haemophilia A trial, use of this vector resulted in sustained FVIII expression in 16 out of 18 participants, permitting discontinuation of prophylaxis and a reduction in bleeding episodes.³

Nanobodies are functional, single-domain, antibody fragments that are much smaller than typical antibodies. Peter Lenting, Director of research of INSERM U1176, Université Paris-Saclay, Le Kremlin-Bicetre, France, explained the potential role of nanobodies in gene therapy for haemophilia,⁶⁵ including how they can be incorporated into AAV capsids and can increase cell-specific targeting with reduced off-target transduction.^{66,67} Nanobodies can also be expressed at high levels via AAV vectors and are characterised by low immunogenicity. In one example, a nanobody that blocked the antithrombin pathway was packaged in an AAV8 vector, and was able to correct the bleeding phenotype in mice with haemophilia A.⁶⁸

Conclusion

For many years, gene therapy has offered great promise as a treatment for monogenic disorders. In haemophilia, evidence to support AAV-based gene therapies is now beginning to accumulate, with one treatment now licensed for use in

Europe. However, although the benefits are clear, current gene therapies are not curative and patient eligibility remains limited. Many challenges remain, but intensive research efforts are ongoing both to refine existing technologies and to develop novel treatment strategies.

References

- Rodríguez-Merchán EC et al. Gene therapy in hemophilia: recent advances. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2021;22(14):7647.
- Chowdary P et al. Phase 1-2 trial of AAVS3 gene therapy in patients with hemophilia B. *N Engl J Med.* 2022;387(3):237-47.
- George LA et al. Multiyear factor VIII expression after AAV gene transfer for hemophilia A. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;385(21):1961-73.
- Pasi KJ et al. Multiyear follow-up of AAV5-hFVIII-SQ gene therapy for hemophilia A. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(1):29-40.
- Rangarajan S et al. AAV5-factor VIII gene transfer in severe hemophilia A. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377(26):2519-30.
- Nathwani AC et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of factor IX gene therapy in hemophilia B. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;371(21):1994-2004.
- Ozelo MC et al. Valoctocogene roxaparvovec gene therapy for hemophilia A. *N Engl J Med.* 2022;386(11):1013-25.
- European Medicines Agency (EMA). First gene therapy to treat severe haemophilia A. 2022. Available at: <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/first-gene-therapy-treat-severe-haemophilia>. Last accessed: 1 August 2022.
- Batty P, Pasi KJ. Gene therapy trials for haemophilia: a step closer to a cure? *Expert Rev Precis Med Drug Dev.* 2019;4(5):259-62.
- Laffan MA et al. Hemostatic results for up to 6 years following treatment with valoctocogene roxaparvovec, an AAV5-hFVIII-SQ gene therapy for severe hemophilia A. Presentation OC 21.2. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
- Mahlangu J et al. Relationship between transgene-produced FVIII and bleeding rates 2 years after gene transfer with valoctocogene roxaparvovec: results from GENER8-1. Presentation LB 01.3. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
- den Uijl IEM et al. Analysis of low frequency bleeding data: the association of joint bleeds according to baseline FVIII activity levels. *Haemophilia.* 2011;17(1):41-4.
- Liu H et al. Comparative effectiveness of valoctocogene roxaparvovec and prophylactic factor VIII replacement estimated through propensity scoring. Presentation OC 21.5. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
- Agarwal S et al. Blood biodistribution and vector shedding of valoctocogene roxaparvovec in people with severe hemophilia A: results from the phase 3 GENER8-1 trial. Presentation PB0210. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
- Chapin JC et al. A translational analysis of immune components in peripheral blood from severe hemophilia A patients treated with TAK-754, an AAV8 vector with a codon-optimized B-domain-deleted factor VIII transgene. Presentation PB0211. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
- Gonen-Yaacovi G et al. Clinical study of adenovirus-associated viral vector-mediated gene therapy of human factor VIII in severe and moderately-severe hemophilia A. Presentation PB0662. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
- Itzler R et al. Improvements in health-related quality of life in adults with severe or moderately severe hemophilia B after receiving etranacogene dezaparvovec gene therapy. Presentation OC 01.02. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
- Young G et al. Results from B-LIEVE, a phase 1/2 dose-confirmation study of FLT180a AAV gene therapy in patients with hemophilia B. Presentation PB0213. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
- Mahlangu J. Value of standardisation in the era of haemophilia gene therapy. Presentation SS 16.1. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
- Teitel J. Consistent approaches to patient assessment pre-gene therapy. Presentation SS 16.2. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
- Raheja P. Monitoring the unique patient immune response. Presentation SS 16.3. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
- Batty P. AAV integration. Presentation SOA 15.3. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
- Ozelo MC. Is the development of cancer an issue in haemophilia gene therapy? Presentation SSC 13.06. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
- Wang D et al. Adeno-associated virus vector as a platform for gene therapy delivery. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2019;18(5):358-78.
- Chandler RJ et al. Genotoxicity in mice following AAV gene delivery: a safety concern for human gene therapy? *Mol Ther.* 2016;24(2):198-201.
- Sabatino DE et al. Evaluating the state of the science for adeno-associated virus integration: an integrated perspective. *Mol Ther.* 2022;30(8):2646-63.
- Nguyen GN et al. A long-term study of AAV gene therapy in dogs with hemophilia A identifies clonal expansions of transduced liver cells. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2021;39(1):47-55.
- Batty P et al. Long-term follow-up of liver-directed, adeno-associated vector-mediated gene therapy in the canine model of hemophilia A. *Blood.* 2022;DOI:10.1182/blood.2021014735.
- Batty P et al. Long-term vector genome outcomes and immunogenicity of AAV FVII gene transfer in the hemophilia A dog model. Abstract PB1088. ISTH Congress, 12-14 July, 2020.
- Batty P et al. Characterisation of adeno-associated virus vector

- persistence after long-term follow up in the haemophilia A dog model. *Haemophilia*. 2021;27(Suppl 2):29.
31. Nault JC et al. Recurrent AAV2-related insertional mutagenesis in human hepatocellular carcinomas. *Nat Genet*. 2015;47(10):1187-93.
 32. George LA et al. Long-term follow-up of the first in human intravascular delivery of AAV for gene transfer: AAV2-hFIX16 for severe hemophilia B. *Mol Ther*. 2020;28(9):2073-82.
 33. Fong S et al. Interindividual variability in transgene mRNA and protein production following adeno-associated virus gene therapy for hemophilia A. *Nat Med*. 2022;28(4):789-97.
 34. Konkle BA et al. BAX 335 hemophilia B gene therapy clinical trial results: potential impact of CpG sequences on gene expression. *Blood*. 2021;137(6):763-74.
 35. Schmidt M et al. Liver safety case report from the phase 3 HOPE-B gene therapy trial in adults with hemophilia B. Abstract OC 67.4. ISTH Congress, 17-21 July, 2021.
 36. Naccache M et al. Collaboration between the World Federation of Hemophilia and national registries for the long-term follow-up of people with hemophilia treated with gene therapy. Presentation PB0212. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
 37. Konkle BA. Post-gene therapy: considerations and challenges for a consistent monitoring approach. Presentation SS 16.4. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
 38. Konkle B et al. Core data set on safety, efficacy, and durability of hemophilia gene therapy for a global registry: communication from the SSC of the ISTH. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020;18(11):3074-7.
 39. Batty P et al. Innate and adaptive immune responses to adeno-associated viral gene therapy in the severe hemophilia A dog model. Presentation OC 01.5. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
 40. Lindgren JR et al. Transgene expression patterns after AAV8 delivery using hepatocyte promoter elements reveal expression in both hepatocytes and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs). Presentation OC 12.1. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
 41. Sternberg AR et al. An enhanced hemostatic factor VIII variant for hemophilia A gene therapy: prothrombotic and immunologic risk assessment. Presentation OC 12.4. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
 42. Wilhelm AR et al. *In vivo* hemostatic significance of activated protein C in factor VIIIa regulation. *Blood*. 2019;134(Suppl 1):93.
 43. Nichols TC et al. Effect of growth on AAV-mediated expression of FIX-R338L in juvenile hemophilia B dogs. Presentation OC 21.4. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
 44. Konkle BA et al. Updated follow-up of the Alta study, a phase 1/2, open label, adaptive, dose-ranging study to assess the safety and tolerability of SB-525 gene therapy in adult patients with severe hemophilia A. *Blood*. 2019;134(Suppl 1):2060.
 45. Rosen S et al. Activity of transgene-produced B-domain-deleted factor VIII in human plasma following AAV5 gene therapy. *Blood*. 2020;136(22):2524-34.
 46. Sternberg AR et al. Elucidating the mechanism behind the AAV-derived Factor VIII assay discrepancy. Presentation OC 01.3. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
 47. Lawton S et al. Liver sinusoidal endothelial cells targeted with ultrasound mediated gene delivery shows long-term FVIII expression in hemophilia A mice. Presentation OC 12.5. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
 48. Wang X et al. Intraosseous delivery of lentiviral vectors targeting factor VIII expression in platelets corrects murine hemophilia A. *Mol Ther*. 2015;23(4):617-26.
 49. Rementer CW et al. Treatment of canine hemophilia A via intraosseous delivery of a platelet specific factor VIII lentiviral vector. Presentation OC 21.3. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
 50. Wilcox DA et al. Integrin alphallb promoter-targeted expression of gene products in megakaryocytes derived from retrovirus-transduced human hematopoietic cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1999;96(17):9654-9.
 51. Wilcox DA et al. Induction of megakaryocytes to synthesize and store a releasable pool of human factor VIII. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2003;1(12):2477-89.
 52. Shi Q et al. Factor VIII ectopically targeted to platelets is therapeutic in hemophilia A with high-titer inhibitory antibodies. *J Clin Invest*. 2006;116(7):1974-82.
 53. Shi Q et al. Lentivirus-mediated platelet-derived factor VIII gene therapy in murine hemophilia A. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2007;5(2):352-61.
 54. Du LM et al. Platelet-targeted gene therapy with human factor VIII establishes haemostasis in dogs with haemophilia A. *Nat Commun*. 2013;4:2773.
 55. Wilcox DA. Gene therapy for inhibitor patients: what is the rationale? Presentation SSC 13.05. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
 56. Tonetto E et al. Base and prime editing of DNA as a new therapeutic option for hemophilia A. Presentation OC 01.1. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
 57. Chen C et al. Rescue of the endogenous FVIII expression in hemophilia A mice using CRISPR/Cas9 mRNA LNPs. Presentation OC 12.3. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
 58. Zintner SM et al. Gene-based FVIIa prophylaxis modulates the spontaneous bleeding phenotype of hemophilia A rats. *Blood Adv*. 2019;3(3):301-11.
 59. Sarangi P et al. AAV mediated CRISPR/Cas9 based therapeutic gene-editing with a bypass coagulation factor in a murine model of hemophilia. Presentation OC 12.2. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
 60. Peretto L et al. Rescue of an FVIII splicing variant with engineered U1snRNAs. Presentation OC 21.1. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
 61. Barbon E. Engineering of AAVs to enhance cell-specific transduction. Presentation SOA 15.1. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
 62. Zhong L et al. Next generation of adeno-associated virus 2 vectors: point mutations in tyrosines lead to high-efficiency transduction at lower doses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008;105(22):7827-32.
 63. Markusic DM et al. High-efficiency transduction and correction of murine hemophilia B using AAV2 vectors devoid of multiple surface-exposed tyrosines. *Mol Ther*. 2010;18(12):2048-56.
 64. Lisowski L et al. Selection and evaluation of clinically relevant AAV variants in a xenograft liver model. *Nature*. 2014;506(7488):382-6.
 65. Lenting PJ. Nanobodies and AAV-

- based gene therapy: a world of possibilities. Presentation SOA 15.2. ISTH Congress, 9-13 July, 2022.
66. Eichhoff AM et al. Nanobody-enhanced targeting of AAV gene therapy vectors. *Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev.* 2019;15:211-20.
67. Hamann MV et al. Improved targeting of human CD4+ T cells by nanobody-modified AAV2 gene therapy vectors. *PLoS One.* 2021;16(12):e0261269.
68. Barbon E et al. Single-domain antibodies targeting antithrombin reduce bleeding in hemophilic mice with or without inhibitors. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2020;12(4):e11298.